RESEARCH PAPER

Germination sensitivity to water stress in four shrubby species across the Mediterranean Basin

D. Chamorro¹, B. Luna¹, J.-M. Ourcival², A. Kavgacı³, C. Sirca^{4,5}, F. Mouillot⁶, M. Arianoutsou⁷ & J. M. Moreno¹

1 Departamento de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Toledo, Spain

- 2 Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive (CEFE), UMR 5175 CNRS/Université de Montpellier/Université Paul Valery Montpellier/EPHE, Montpellier, France
- 3 Batı Akdeniz Ormancılık Arastirma Enstitüsü, Southwest Anatolia Forest Research Institute, Antalya, Turkey
- 4 DIPNET, Dipartimento di Scienze della Natura e del Territorio, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy
- 5 IAFES Division of the CMCC, Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Climate Change, Sassari, Italy
- 6 Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive (CEFE), UMR 5175 CNRS/Université de Montpellier/Université Paul Valery Montpellier/EPHE/IRD, Montpellier, France
- 7 Department of Ecology and Systematics, Faculty of Biology, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Keywords

Cistaceae; *Erica arborea*; Fabaceae; fire cues; heat shock; hydrotime analysis; PEG; smoke.

Correspondence

J. M. Moreno and B. Luna, Departamento de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Av. Carlos III s/n, 45071 Toledo, Spain.

E-mails: josem.moreno@uclm.es and belen.luna@uclm.es

Editor

R. Bekker

Received: 27 July 2015; Accepted: 14 March 2016

doi:10.1111/plb.12450

ABSTRACT

Mediterranean shrublands are generally water-limited and fire-driven ecosystems. Seed-based post-fire regeneration may be affected by varying rainfall patterns, depending on species sensitivity to germinate under water stress. In our study, we considered the germination response to water stress in four species from several sites across the Mediterranean Basin. Seeds of species with a hard coat (Cistus monspeliensis, C. salviifolius, Cistaceae, Calicotome villosa, Fabaceae) or soft coat (Erica arborea, Ericaceae), which were exposed or not to a heat shock and smoke (fire cues), were made to germinate under water stress. Final germination percentage, germination speed and viability of seeds were recorded. Germination was modelled using hydrotime analysis and correlated to the water balance characteristics of seed provenance. Water stress was found to decrease final germination in the three hard-seeded species, as well as reduce germination speed. Moreover, an interaction between fire cues and water stress was found, whereby fire cues increased sensitivity to water stress. Seed viability after germination under water stress also declined in two hard-seeded species. Conversely, E. arborea showed little sensitivity to water stress, independent of fire cues. Germination responses varied among populations of all species, and hydrotime parameters were not correlated to site water balance, except in E. arborea when not exposed to fire cues. In conclusion, the species studied differed in germination sensitivity to water stress; furthermore, fire cues increased this sensitivity in the three hard-seeded species, but not in E. arborea. Moreover, populations within species consistently differed among themselves, but these differences could only be related to the provenance locality in E. arborea in seeds not exposed to fire cues.

INTRODUCTION

The germination process is the beginning of the autonomous life of a plant, and is controlled by water availability provided that suitable temperatures are present. Germination starts with the imbibition of the seed, which prompts the initiation of metabolic processes that will result in radicle elongation. The uptake of water is triphasic (Finch-Savage & Leubner-Metzger 2006), while the length of each phase depends on species and environmental conditions, although seed germination occurs only when seeds hold 30–35% water (Roberts & Ellis 1989). If water in the seed environment is limiting, water uptake may start, but the germination process cannot be concluded if all three phases of imbibition are not completed. Water availability is, therefore, an important limiting factor for germination, affecting the final germination percentage as well as the rate and uniformity of emergence (Bewley & Black 1994).

Seeds in the field will be exposed to various water potentials depending on their position in the soil profile, soil characteristics and weather conditions following a rainfall event. Seeds in the soil may hydrate once a rain event occurs, after which seeds start to dehydrate as the soil dries out until a new rain event eventually allows re-imbibition of the seeds (Batlla & Benech-Arnold 2006). As the soil dries out, its water potential declines, thereby potentially limiting germination (Koller & Hadas 1982; Downs & Cavers 2000). Seeds at the soil surface or in the very upper soil layers are subjected to significant fluctuations in water content, with more rapid wetting after the rain, but also faster drying. In contrast, seeds buried deeper in the soil will not be moistened until larger amounts of rain have fallen. Once moistened, they will remain wet for a longer time due to the exponential decay of the evaporation rate in relation to soil depth (Allen et al. 1998; Xiao et al. 2011).

In Mediterranean areas, germination occurs after autumn rains once soils are wetted (Espigares & Peco 1993; Céspedes et al. 2012). Duration and timing of the wet season have important consequences for seed germination and recruitment, with delayed and shorter wet seasons leading to lower final germination and lower richness and diversity of species (Miranda et al. 2009; Céspedes et al. 2012; Joët et al. 2013). In these areas, fire occurs mainly during the summer dry season (Urbieta et al. 2015). In post-fire environments, temporal germination patterns show large variability among species, and are closely related to variations in rainfall (Quintana et al. 2004; Moreno et al. 2011). Germination is highest during wet years, only occurring during the first post-fire year; during dry years, however, germination is lower and extends over several years (Moreno et al. 2011). Seeds that germinate in the second or later years post-fire have little chance of establishing (Quintana et al. 2004; Moreno et al. 2011).

Germination response to gradients of water stress has been studied under laboratory conditions by exposing seeds to polyethylene glycol (PEG), an inert, water-binding polymer with a non-ionic impermeable long chain that correctly simulates drought stress under dry soil conditions. Many of these studies typically address the response of a single species, with greater focus on species of agricultural interest, such as crop species or weeds (Almansouri et al. 2001; Zhang et al. 2010). Few studies have focused on a larger number of species and, when they do, significant interspecific variation is often reported. Interspecific variation to water stress has been related to habitat characteristics (Evans & Etherington 1990; Sy et al. 2001; Schütz et al. 2002), climate characteristics (Köchy & Tielbörger 2007) and life-history traits (Kos & Poschlod 2008), although generalisations are largely inconclusive. Similarly, research relating to the intraspecific population variation of germination patterns to habitat characteristics has not produced consistent results (Boydak et al. 2003; Raccuia et al. 2004; Tilki & Dirik 2007; Petrů & Tielbörger 2008; Atia et al. 2011; Cochrane et al. 2015a).

Fire plays a major role in Mediterranean ecosystems. After a fire event, many species regenerate solely from seeds, which are most often stored in the soil seed bank and resistant to high temperatures (Luna et al. 2007). Species with hardcoated seeds (i.e. with physical dormancy) are common, with dormancy-breaking being cued to fire (heat with or without smoke; Ne'eman et al. 2012). Many of these species dominate the various types of shrubland in the Mediterranean region; these include species of the Cistaceae and woody shrubs of the Fabaceae. Other dominant species in shrublands on more mesic and acidic substrates include Ericaceae. Seeds in this last family are soft-coated and can have physiological dormancy, while the role of fire in promoting germination is less clear (Mesléard & Lepart 1991; Crosti et al. 2006; Moreira et al. 2010). While Cistaceae shrubs are generally obligate seeders, shrubs in the Fabaceae and Ericaceae often also resprout after fire.

Knowledge on seed germination sensitivity to water stress is of the utmost importance in dry areas such as the Mediterranean region and other areas with a similar climate in the world having similarly alternating periods of dry and wet soils. In these environments, droughts are common and rainfall is highly variable from year to year; with more droughts the lower the rainfall (Lionello *et al.* 2006). This implies that after a fire event, when recruitment of obligate seeders is most vulnerable, germination might proceed under reduced rainfall. Additionally, in the context of changing climate, this situation can be even more critical. In the Mediterranean region, global warming is projected to increase mean surface temperatures more than the mean global average, and modify the precipitation regime, with a lengthened and more intense drought period during the year (Ruffault et al. 2014). Rainfall is projected to be concentrated in the autumn and winter months, with fewer, but more intense precipitation events (Giorgi & Lionello 2008), which is consistent with recent observations (Bindoff et al. 2013). Plant establishment will likely be affected by limited water availability in addition to high temperatures. Notwithstanding, a small number of studies have anticipated the likely impact of changes in climate, rainfall and drought, in particular, upon germination (see Walck et al. 2011).

In this study, we analysed the effects of water stress and firerelated cues (*i.e.* exposure of seeds to heat shock and smoke) on seed germination and viability of four woody species that are widespread across the Mediterranean Basin. In so doing, we asked the following questions: (i) do species differ in their germination sensitivity to water stress; (ii) is the response affected by exposing the seeds to fire cues; (iii) do water stress response patterns vary across the Mediterranean Basin; and (iv) are germination response patterns related to the climate conditions of the locality of the seed provenance?

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study species and seed collection

Seeds of four typical Mediterranean shrubland woody species were collected from across the Mediterranean Basin. These were: Cistus monspeliensis L., C. salviifolius L. (Cistaceae), Calicotome villosa (Poir.) Link. (Fabaceae) and Erica arborea L. (Ericaceae). The first three species have hard-coated seeds (i.e. the seeds have physical dormancy), while E. arborea has soft seeds (i.e. the seeds do not have physical dormancy; Baskin & Baskin 2014). Seeds were collected on ripening in summer 2010 (from July to August) from at least 20 plants per site, in order to make a single species-site sample, at sites spanning 3237 km, in Spain, France, Tunisia, Italy, Greece and Turkey (Fig. 1, Table 1). To avoid spurious effects due to selecting sites close together that might have high intraspecific variability (Moreira et al. 2012), the minimum distance between sites for a given species was 387 km, such that climate- and other firerelated pressures would be unique to each site. Seeds were stored in paper bags at room temperature until the germination experiments began in January of the following year.

Germination experiments

In fire-prone environments, germination is triggered by both heat and smoke (Keeley & Fotheringham 2000). Smoke cannot stimulate the germination of seeds with an impermeable coat until this coat has been broken with fire or other scarifying agents (Moreira *et al.* 2010). Prior to incubation, half of the seeds were heated at 100 °C for 10 min, which is a common temperature and timeframe in shrubland fires (Moreino *et al.* 2011; Céspedes *et al.* 2012), and then exposed to smoke for 20 min, in order to simulate the effects of fire. Seeds were



Fig. 1. Geographic locations from which seeds were collected. Seeds were harvested from six countries (Spain, France, Italy, Tunisia, Greece and Turkey) and 15 populations across the Mediterranean Basin (see Table 1 for further details on specific locations sampled).

Table 1. Characteristics of locations where seeds were harvested. Population number (Pop) refers to Fig. 1. Code refers to the first initials of each country and, in the case of Spain, we used additional coding related to the geographic position (C: centre refers to populations 1–3; S: south refers to populations 4–5) since several populations were studied. Climate data was obtained for the climate reference period 1961–1990 from the WATCH climate dataset (http:// www.eu-watch.org). Duration (days) and intensity (mm) of the period of vulnerability (*i.e.* the period between maximum drought until continuous positive water balance) for germination are indicated for each population. Additionally, the Standardised Precipitation–Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI 10) (http://sac.c-sic.es/spei/index.html) is provided for 2010, when seeds were harvested. This is a drought index based on the climatic water balance (P – PET), with positive values indicating drought being less intense than the historical trend and negative values being the opposite (Beguería *et al.* 2014).

Country	Code	Рор	Lat (N)	Long (E)	Alt (m)	<i>T</i> (°C)	P (mm)	SPEI 10	Period of vulnerability	
									Duration (days)	Intensity (mm)
C. monspel	liensis									
Spain	SP (C)	1	39.64°	-3.39°	820	14.5	422.2	0.63	146	406.5
	SP (S)	4	36.30°	-5.68°	145	17.6	754.5	1.77	119	287.9
France	FR	6	43.74°	3.59°	270	13.2	1303.9	-0.37	84	85.0
Italy	IT	9	40.61°	8.15°	43	14.9	921.7	0.93	105	181.6
Tunisia	TU	11	36.61°	8.56°	520	17.2	991.4	0.76	116	330.9
C. salviifoliu	JS									
Spain	SP (C)	2	39.82°	-4.24°	533	14.7	480.6	1.53	141	384.3
	SP (S)	5	36.52°	-5.66°	399	17.6	652.5	1.79	129	364.2
France	FR	7	43.61°	3.40°	174	11.9	1298.2	-0.41	76	60.6
Italy	IT	10	40.33°	9.12°	347	13.2	966.5	0.65	99	154.9
Greece	GR	12	39.02°	26.61°	97	16.2	716.3	2.11	91	95.1
Turkey	ТК	13	36.60°	30.48°	70	12.3	696.4	1.24	95	358.9
C. villosa										
Spain	SP (S)	4	36.30°	-5.68°	170	17.6	754.5	1.77	119	287.6
France	FR	8	42.47°	8.69°	43	12.7	1079.0	0.55	97	131.5
Tunisia	TU	12	36.61°	8.56°	520	17.2	991.4	0.76	116	330.9
Turkey	ΤK	14	37.01°	30.76°	125	15.0	748.9	1.25	122	377.0
E. arborea										
Spain	SP (C)	3	39.42°	-4.07°	917	14.0	605.3	1.29	132	357.4
	SP (S)	5	36.52°	-5.66°	399	17.6	652.5	1.79	129	364.2
France	FR	7	43.61°	3.40°	174	11.9	1298.2	-0.41	76	60.6
Turkey	ТК	15	41.17°	29.01°	50	14.1	763.5	1.93	110	184.3

heated in an electric oven. Smoke was produced by burning a mixture of fine fuel from several species, including *Cistus* spp. and *E. arborea*. Smoke was continuously funnelled for 20 min through a box containing the seeds laid out in trays. Seeds were then incubated at 20 °C with a photoperiod of 12 h/12 h for 60 days in plastic Petri dishes (5.5-cm diameter) over two filter papers (Whatman no. 1). Seeds were germinated under different levels of water stress by moistening the Petri dishes with either 1.2 ml deionised water or the appropriate polyethylene glycol (PEG) solution in order to produce four levels of water replaced weekly and the corresponding PEG solution added to avoid changes in the germinating solution. PEG is routinely

used as a water stressor agent (Baskin & Baskin 2014). The required water potential was produced with PEG 6000 and deionised water according to the formula $\Psi = 0.130[\text{PEG}]^2\text{T} - 13.7[\text{PEG}]^2$, in line with Michel & Kaufmann (1973) and additional adjustments of Hardegree & Emmerich (1990). Six replicates of 25 seeds per species and site were used in each of the treatments. All Petri dishes were sealed with Parafilm in order to prevent desiccation. Petri dishes were placed at random on the plate of a temperature- and humidity-controlled chamber (Model G-21, Ibercex). Germination was recorded every day for the first 30 days and every 3 days until the end of the experiment, with radicle emergence used as the criterion for scoring a seed as germinated. When the experiment ended,

the viability of each non-germinated seed was checked using the tetrazolium test for Cistaceae and Fabaceae. The tetrazolium test was undertaken after the seeds were cut into half and incubated in 1% 2,3,5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride for 48 h in the dark (Moore 1985). Given their small size, the tetrazolium test could not be conducted with *E. arborea* seeds. Instead, in the case of *E. arborea*, a 1% solution of gibberellic acid (GA₃) was added to non-germinated seeds until germination was completed. Seeds that were infected by fungi were considered non-viable.

Four variables were obtained: final germination percentage at the end of the experiment corrected for viability (FG; *i.e.* germination percentages were estimated in relation to viable seeds and not in relation to the total number of seeds), germination speed characterised by the time to initiate germination (T_0 ; *i.e.* the time until the first seed germinated) and the time to produce 50% of the total germination obtained (T_{50}), and, finally, seed viability (V; viability percentages were assessed by considering germinated seeds plus non-germinated, but tetrazoliumcoloured, seeds).

Data analyses

Final germination percentage, T₀, T₅₀ and seed viability data were analysed with generalised linear models (GLMs). Based on error structure, we used a binomial error distribution and logit link function for final germination and seed viability. In the case of T₀ and T₅₀, a Poisson error distribution with identity link function was considered most appropriate in relation to the data. Each species was tested for the effects of population provenance (i.e. site of collection) and germination treatments were nested within populations. In cases where no differences among populations emerged, a non-nested model with three factors was fitted. Germination treatments were fire cues (two levels, with and without heat + smoke) and water stress (four levels, 0 to -0.45 MPa), which were considered as fixed factors. The population of the provenance was also considered as a fixed factor on the assumption that a population would reflect the longterm effects of a local climate (Bolker et al. 2008). Where water stress treatment effects were significant, pair-wise comparisons among treatments were performed using the Bonferroni correction. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS Statistics version 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Hydrotime analyses were carried out on the basis that they allow for a unifying model that is useful for describing the patterns of germination occurring in response to water potential (Bradford 1990). Hydrotime analysis quantifies the speed of germination ($\theta_{\rm H}$), the stress tolerance of germination ($\Psi_{\rm b}$) and the uniformity of germination ($\sigma_{\Psi b}$) (Bradford & Still 2004). $\theta_{\rm H}$ is the hydrotime constant (MPa h) for the population, defined as $\theta_{\rm H} = (\Psi - \Psi_{\rm b}(g))t_{\rm g}$ where Ψ is the seed water potential (MPa), $\Psi_{\rm b}(g)$ is the base or threshold water potential (MPa) defined for a specific germination fraction g, and t_g is the time required for germination of percentage g. Base water potential, $\Psi_{\rm b}$, is the minimum water potential permitting germination and the Ψ_b of individual seeds varies as a normal distribution in a population of seeds (Gummerson 1986; Bradford 1990) with a median $\Psi_{\rm b}$ (50) and the corresponding SD $\sigma_{\Psi \rm b}$ (50).

Values of $\theta_{H_1} \Psi_b$ (50) and $\sigma_{\Psi b}$ (50) were determined using repeated probit regression analysis in order to align the time

courses to the hydrotime model, as described previously by Bradford (1990). Higher $\theta_{\rm H}$ indicates a longer time needed for germination (MPa h; *i.e.* slower germination). Lower (*i.e.* more negative) values of $\Psi_{\rm b}$ mean that seeds will germinate across a wider range of water potentials. Finally, higher values of $\sigma_{\Psi b}$ (50) indicate higher germination variability within the population.

Hydrotime analysis requires appropriate germination percentages over a range of Ψ s, with high germination percentages at 0 MPa and in at least one other level of Ψ . In the case of species with hard-coated seeds, germination percentages without fire cues were very low, which precluded hydrotime modelling. Differences in hydrotime parameters between species were analysed with univariate GLMs and, in the case of *E. arborea*, differences between seeds exposed or otherwise to fire cues were analysed with repeated measures GLMs.

We were interested in determining the relationship between germination sensitivity to water stress and the local water balance characteristics at the sites where seeds were harvested. The germination sensitivity to water stress was described as θ_H and $\Psi_{\rm b}(50)$. The water balance characteristics at each site were characterised by what we called the 'period of vulnerability' for germination. This period of vulnerability was determined from a daily water balance, which was calculated as the difference between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (P - PET). The daily potential evapotranspiration was calculated in line with the FAO-56 Hargreaves equation (Allen et al. 1998), and the daily temperature and precipitation data were obtained for the climate reference period 1961-1990 from the WATCH climate dataset at 0.5° latitude/longitude resolution (http://www.eu-watch.org/). Mean water balance was calculated for each day and then applied to a quadratic model. The period of vulnerability was defined as the period between the time when the water balance was at its minimum (i.e. maximum drought) and when it became continuously positive, and described with the following two variables: duration (number of days between the height of drought and continuous wetness; *i.e.* positive water balance) and intensity (cumulative water balance throughout the days of the period of vulnerability). Overall, the period of vulnerability is expected to occur following seed dispersal during the dry season (*i.e.* from mid-summer) when water stress is maximum, until early autumn, depending on patterns of seasonal rain. The relationship between germination sensitivity to water stress and both variables of the period of vulnerability was determined by least squares regression. The dependent variables were θ_H and Ψ_b , while the duration and intensity of the period of vulnerability were the independent variables.

RESULTS

The four species differed in their germination responses to the treatments. *Erica arborea* was the species with the highest final germination, in seeds both exposed and not exposed to fire cues (Table S1). Germination of the other species was increased considerably by fire cues, with *C. monspeliensis* being the species with the lowest final germination values. Final germination was significantly different among populations in all species (Table 2). Species with hard-coated seeds were significantly affected by both fire cues and water stress (Table 2). Final germination increased with fire cues and decreased with water

stress. Moreover, a significant interaction between these two factors emerged (Table 2; Fig. 2), whereby the negative effect of water stress was largest in seeds exposed to fire cues. Lastly, final germination in *E. arborea* was significantly affected by fire

Table 2. *P*-values from the GLM for effects of population (P), fire cues (Fc) and water stress (Ws) nested within population, on final germination (FG); T_0 (time to initiate germination), T_{50} (time to reach 50% of total germination) and seed viability (V). More information on GLM results can be found in Table S5.

	FG	T ₀	T ₅₀	V
C. monspeliensis				
Р	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001
Fc [P]	<0.001	0.203	0.004	0.069
Ws [P]	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.164
$Fc \times Ws$	0.040	0.217	0.004	0.461
C. salviifolius				
Р	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Fc [P]	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001
Ws [P]	<0.001	0.036	0.192	0.019
$Fc \times Ws$	0.009	0.800	<0.001	<0.001
C. villosa				
Р	<0.001	0.002	0.579	<0.001
Fc [P]	0.001	0.048	<0.001 ^a	<0.001
Ws [P]	0.023	0.841	<0.001 ^a	0.011
$Fc \times Ws$	<0.001	0.112	0.479	0.544
$P \times Fc$			0.799	
$P \times Ws$			0.969	
$P \times Fc \times Ws$			0.958	
E. arborea				
Р	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Fc [P]	0.045	0.289	0.694	0.003
Ws [P]	0.422	<0.001	<0.001	0.201
$Fc \times Ws$	0.717	0.354	0.310	0.016

Significant *P*-values are shown in bold (P < 0.05).

^aIn the case of *C. villosa* T50, populations were not significantly different and, consequently, a non-nested model with three factors was fitted (see Table S5). cues, albeit with a minor positive effect, and not significantly affected by water stress. No interaction between the two treatments was ascertained (Table 2).

Time to initiate germination (T_0) in seeds not treated with fire cues was lowest and significantly homogeneous among populations in *E. arborea* (8–9 days for non-water-stressed seeds), and higher and more variable among populations in the other three species, with *C. villosa* being the most extreme (from 5 to 25 days in the non-water-stressed seeds; Table S2). Population was a significant factor in all four species. T_0 generally decreased in seeds exposed to fire cues, with significant effects observed in *C. salviifolius* and *C. villosa*. Water stress significantly increased T_0 in all species except *C. villosa*.

The time to reach 50% of final germination (T_{50}) was significantly different among populations in all species except *C. villosa.* Exposing seeds to fire cues significantly increased T_{50} in hard-coated species, but was unchanged in *E. arborea.* Water stress increased T_{50} in all species except *C. salviifolius*, where such an increase was only observed in seeds that had previously been exposed to fire cues (Table 2, Fig. S1). Fire cues and water stress interaction was also significant in *C. monspeliensis* (Table 2).

Significant differences in seed viability (V) among populations were also found for all species (Tables 2, S4). In the case of *C. monspeliensis*, seed viability was not affected by any of the treatments, unlike *C. villosa* and *C. salviifolius*, which were affected by both (Table 2). Viability of *E. arborea* seeds was only affected by fire cues treatment (Table 2). Additionally, an interaction between fire cues and water stress treatments emerged for *C. salviifolius* and *E. arborea*. Contrary to *E. arborea*, seed viability of *C. salviifolius* was not affected by water stress in the absence of fire cues, although seed viability decreased with increased water stress after exposure to fire cues. *Erica arborea* displayed an opposite pattern, showing a decrease in viability with water stress only in seeds not exposed to fire cues (Fig. S1).

The hydrotime model was generally compatible with the timeline of germination (with r^2 -values ranging from 0.69 to 0.95; Table 3). Overall, hydrotime parameters showed great



Fig. 2. Final germination percentage (FG) for each species and water stress treatment. Means \pm SE are presented for seeds not exposed to fire cues (heat shock + smoke) or exposed to them. When the interaction between water stress treatment and fire cues was statistically significant, differences among treatments were assessed. Therefore, different letters (lowercase for seeds not exposed to fire cues, and uppercase for seeds exposed to them) show significant differences among water stress treatments from pair-wise comparisons with the Bonferroni correction (P < 0.05) after GLM analysis (see Table 2).

Germination and water stress in four shrubs

Table 3. Hydrotime model parameters for each species and population studied. In the case of species with hard-coated seeds, hydrotime analyses were only possible for seeds exposed to fire cues (heat shock + smoke). In the case of *E. arborea*, hydrotime parameters are shown for seeds both not exposed and exposed to fire cues.

	θ _H	ψ _b (50)	σ _{ψb} (50)	r ²
C. monspelier	nsis			
SP (C)	63	-0.01	0.16	0.95
SP (S)	162	-0.11	0.16	0.78
FR	107	-0.11	0.21	0.69
IT	81	-0.25	0.21	0.75
TU	88	-0.16	0.11	0.91
C. salviifolius				
SP (C)	69	-0.10	0.22	0.89
SP (S)	199	-0.40	0.26	0.91
FR	137	-0.42	0.21	0.86
IT	123	-0.37	0.25	0.86
GR	75	-0.30	0.19	0.90
ТК	94	-0.13	0.16	0.77
C. villosa				
SP (S)	231	-0.32	0.34	0.75
FR	47	-0.03	0.24	0.73
TU	76	-0.06	0.26	0.76
ТК	159	-0.08	0.24	0.80
E. arborea				
Fire cues				
SP (C)	219	-1.06	0.28	0.89
SP (S)	162	-0.67	0.24	0.87
FR	286	-1.09	0.49	0.90
ТК	216	-0.84	0.27	0.91
No fire cues				
SP (C)	221	-0.94	0.28	0.88
SP (S)	243	-0.78	0.26	0.91
FR	111	-0.49	0.17	0.91
ТК	212	-0.79	0.29	0.81

variability among populations within a species in all four species (Table 3). Species differed in $\theta_{\rm H}$ and $\Psi_{\rm b}(50)$ among them ($F_{3,14} = 3.997$, P = 0.030; $F_{3,14} = 26.553$, P < 0.001, respectively). *Erica arborea* had the highest values of $\theta_{\rm H}$ and the lowest (*i.e.* more negative) $\Psi_{\rm b}$ (50). *Post-hoc* analysis showed that $\theta_{\rm H}$ in *E. arborea* was significantly different from *C. monspeliensis*, with the other two neither differing from these two species nor among themselves. On the other hand, *post-hoc* analysis for $\Psi_{\rm b}(50)$ indicated that *E. arborea* was significantly different from the other three species. Furthermore, $\theta_{\rm H}$ and $\Psi_{\rm b}(50)$ in *E. arborea* did not show significant difference among seeds exposed or otherwise to fire cues ($F_{1,3} = 0.198$, P = 0.686; $F_{1,3} = 1.166$, P = 0.359, respectively).

The duration $(111.1 \pm 4.1 \text{ days})$ and intensity $(254.5 \pm 20.4 \text{ mm})$ means of the period of vulnerability were similar among species (Table 1). Germination sensitivity to water stress (θ_{H} and $\Psi_{\text{b}}(50)$) was not correlated with either the duration or intensity of the period of vulnerability for the species with hard-coated seeds (Table 4). In the case of *E. arborea,* however, the duration of the period of vulnerability was significantly correlated with θ_{H} and marginally correlated with $\Psi_{\text{b}}(50)$ (*P* = 0.063) in seeds not exposed to fire cues, but not in exposed seeds (Table 4). As the duration of the period of vulnerability of the species with $\Psi_{\text{b}}(50)$ (*P* = 0.063) in seeds not exposed to fire cues, but not in exposed seeds (Table 4). As the duration of the period of vulnerability of the period of vulnerability of the period of vulnerability arbors are species with $\Psi_{\text{b}}(50)$ (*P* = 0.063) in seeds not exposed to fire cues, but not in exposed seeds (Table 4). As the duration of the period of vulnerability arbors are species (Table 4).

Table 4. Correlation (*r*, *P*) between $\theta_{\rm H}$ and $\Psi_{\rm b}$ (50) and the period of vulnerability (duration and intensity) for four shrubs studied across the Mediterranean Basin. For species with hard-coated seeds, correlation is shown for seeds exposed to fire cues, whereas, in the case of *E. arborea*, correlations were made for seeds both not exposed and exposed to fire cues.

	θ_{H}		ψ _b (50)		
	r	Р	r	Р	
C. monspeliensis					
Duration	-0.272	0.658	0.545	0.342	
Intensity	-0.221	0.721	0.492	0.399	
C. salviifolius					
Duration	0.073	0.891	0.397	0.436	
Intensity	0.070	0.895	0.612	0.197	
C. villosa					
Duration	-0.275	0.656	0.554	0.333	
Intensity	-0.222	0.719	0.497	0.395	
E. arborea					
Fire cues					
Duration	-0.862	0.138	0.486	0.514	
Intensity	-0.851	0.149	0.465	0.535	
No fire cues					
Duration	0.957	0.043	-0.937	0.063	
Intensity	0.822	0.178	-0.855	0.145	

Significant relationships are shown in bold (P < 0.05).

nerability increased, θ_H also increased, while $\Psi_b(50)$ became more negative (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

Few studies have addressed the effects of water stress on germination in Mediterranean species. Conifers have shown high tolerance to water stress (Thanos & Skordilis 1987; Boydak et al. 2003), whereas shrub species of the Fabaceae have shown variable responses, from high (e.g. Antyllis cytisoides; Ibáñez & Passera 1997) to low tolerance (e.g. Genista scorpius; Bochet et al. 2007). In these aforementioned studies, seeds had previously been scarified although no fire cues were involved. Seeds of other Cistus species that were neither scarified nor exposed to fire cues showed moderate tolerance to water stress (Pérez-Fernández et al. 2006). Annual species, including hard-seeded Fabaceae, previously scarified but not exposed to fire cues showed high tolerance to water stress (Pérez-Fernández et al. 2006; Bochet et al. 2007; Köchy & Tielbörger 2007). Comparisons among life forms are difficult given the limited number of species studied, and the fact that seeds were not always exposed to fire cues. Nevertheless, it appears that sensitivity to water stress among shrubs is more variable than in other life forms. Clearly, more studies are needed to accurately characterise fire-prone Mediterranean species, and woody species in particular.

In our study, germination sensitivity to water stress increased markedly in the hard-coated seed species after exposing the seeds to fire cues, as demonstrated by the consistently significant interactions between fire cues and water stress treatments. The pattern of response was consistent in the three species investigated. This observation concurs with the fact that the non-dormant fraction in these species is much less sensitive



Fig. 3. Correlation between the duration of the period of vulnerability for germination and hydrotime parameters [θ_H and Ψ_b (50)] in seeds of *E. arborea* that were not exposed to fire cues.

to water stress, which coincides with results from Pérez-Fernández et al. (2006), as described above. Other studies have observed the opposite effect (i.e. decreased sensitivity to water stress after exposure to fire cues; Ghebrehiwot et al. 2008; Thomas et al. 2010), but in these studies, the species investigated did not have physical dormancy. That said, lack of physical dormancy, as in E. arborea in our case, did not imply significant changes in sensitivity to water stress as a result of being exposed to fire cues. It has been argued that karrikins from smoke and, in general, factors that promote germination have the ability to reduce the sensitivity to increasing water stress and enlarge the range of water potentials under which germination proceeds, as well as increasing germination speed (Bradford & Still 2004; Ghebrehiwot et al. 2008; Thomas et al. 2010). However, as we have demonstrated, the three species with physical dormancy in our study exhibited the opposite effect, while E. arborea failed to support such a contention.

The Cistaceae and Fabaceae are families widespread across the Mediterranean Basin, dominating many shrublands in the region (Tomaselli 1981). While they occupy a variety of habitats, they are abundant in dry and warm environments. From an ecological viewpoint, we expected that they would be highly tolerant to water stress, but this was not supported by our study. Additionally, contrary to our expectations, fire cues increased germination sensitivity to water stress. Hardcoated seeds in Mediterranean shrublands, notably in Cistaceae and Fabaceae, usually form persistent soil seed banks that produce a flush of seedlings once dormancy is released by the passage of fire (Trabaud 1994; De Luis et al. 2005). Fire temporarily produces a competitor-free environment, where success is often contingent on being the first to germinate, establish and develop. It has been argued that species adapted to fire should reduce variability in the timing of germination, such that they would germinate as early as possible in order to increase fitness (Verdú & Traveset 2005; De Luis et al. 2008). We found, however, that germination was tightly related to low water stress, notably after seeds were exposed to fire cues. In such cases, water stress reduced germination speed in Cistus; this limits the opportunities for rapid germination and early establishment after fire. Having hard seeds indicates a fire-adaptive trait that may have different origins; in some species, physical dormancy may have evolved in response to fire, whereas in other species it could have originated in response to other selective pressures that became useful in fire-prone habitats (Keeley et al. 2011). Provided the mismatch between what appears advantageous after fire to ensure prompt germination and early establishment, and the fact that fire cues restrict conditions for germination and delay the process, our results contradict a fire-driven selection process behind hard-coated seeds in these shrubs.

In contrast to the other species investigated, *E. arborea* showed little sensitivity to water stress, and was not affected by fire cues. Germination in this species is not cued to fire (*i.e.* heat and smoke effects; Mesléard & Lepart 1991; Valbuena & Vera 2002; Crosti *et al.* 2006). While we found that the germination of *E. arborea* was significantly affected by fire cues, the absolute magnitude of this increment was small and not comparable to that in the hard-coated species. Regeneration after fire in this species relies on resprouting, not on seeds (Mesléard & Lepart 1991); thus, ecological implications of changes in germination for population persistence would be smaller than in seeder species.

Germination responses to water stress varied among populations in all four species examined across the Mediterranean Basin. Hydrotime analysis supported these findings, showing a significant variability of the hydrotime parameters among populations of a given species. High variability among populations in germination responses to water stress is widespread among species within the region and in other regions of the world (Raccuia et al. 2004; Khera & Singh 2005; Tilki & Dirik 2007; Li & Feng 2009; Cochrane et al. 2015a). In the case of hardcoated seed species, we found that the variability among populations in germination sensitivity to water stress of seeds exposed to fire cues was not correlated to the period of vulnerability at the sites of seed provenance. In other words, germination after fire at the various sites would have occurred without being attuned to the local environment (i.e. the climate of the period of vulnerability in our case). The hydrotime model could not be calculated for the non-dormant fraction. These seeds may germinate readily every year, and not in pulses as in post-fire environments, thus environmental pressures would likely be stronger on them. Given the different responses to water stress between the two fractions, we cannot extrapolate the results from one to the other. Therefore, whether population differences in the readily germinable fraction correlate to local climate conditions remains unresolved.

In the case of *E. arborea*, the variability in germination sensitivity to water stress among populations was correlated to the duration of the period of vulnerability for germination, and for the intensity of this period to some extent. Seeds from sites with longer periods of vulnerability were able to germinate under lower water potentials and indicated higher hydrotime values (more MPa hours) than those of sites with shorter periods (*i.e.* less dry). This pattern of response would concur with expectations that populations from dry provenances should be less sensitive to water stress. The pattern found also coincides with reports for coniferous Mediterranean species (Fady 1992; Boydak et al. 2003). Exposing the seeds to fire cues, however, rendered these relationships non-significant. In other words, seeds of the various populations, once treated with fire cues, germinated irrespective of their local climate conditions, much as occurred in the other three species with hard-coated seeds. Despite the fact that the locations chosen are widely spaced throughout the Mediterranean region, and covered a significant range of temperature and precipitation conditions, their limited number obliges us to be cautious before reaching a firmer conclusion.

Studies correlating local characteristics and seed traits and germination responses often report contrasting results among species, making generalisations difficult (Cochrane et al. 2015b). Our study concurs with this. Only on one occasion out of five (one in each of the hard coat-seeded species and two in E. arborea) did we find that local water balance conditions during the period of vulnerability explained among-population variability across the sites investigated. For the other occasions, variability among populations was the norm, but this could not be explained by local correlates. This implies that anticipating future threats to species persistence across their distribution range as a result of a changing climate due to global warming will be complicated. Models addressing the response of a given species to a change in climate (e.g. Pearson et al. 2014) need to consider not only intraspecific variability, but also variations due to other relevant ecological factors (e.g. fire in our case) affecting germination, as no single population will fully capture the species response throughout its distribution range. A caveat in this work is that consideration of the relationship with the local climate only involved climate parameters. This may provide a base reference for seeds at the surface, but may not do so for seeds buried in the soil, which will affect moistening-desiccation patterns (Schütz et al. 2002). The

inclusion of soil seed depth and sensitivity to varying rainfall patterns was beyond the scope of this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the European Project 7th FP (project FUME, GA243888). We also thank L. Díaz, A. Velasco and A. Galanidis for technical assistance; and Renée Bekker and three anonymous reviewers for useful comments and suggestions. We thank Kent J. Bradford for generously providing the software to calculate the parameters of the hydrotime model.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

Table S1. FG mean values $(\pm SE)$ for seeds not exposed to fire cues (heat shock + smoke) or exposed to them, with each species and population germinated under different water stress treatments.

Table S2. T_0 mean values (\pm SE) for seeds not exposed to fire cues (heat shock + smoke) or exposed to them, with each species and population germinated under different water stress treatments.

Table S3. T_{50} mean values (\pm SE) for seeds not exposed to fire cues (heat shock + smoke) or exposed to them, with each species and population germinated under different water stress treatments.

Table S4. V mean values $(\pm$ SE) for seeds not exposed to fire cues (heat shock + smoke) or exposed to them, with each species and population germinated under different water stress treatments.

Table S5. Complete results from GLM for effects of population, fire cues and water stress treatments on FG, T_0 , T_{50} and V.

Figure S1. T_0 (days), T_{50} (days), and V (%) mean values (\pm SE) for each species and water stress treatment, as well as for seeds not exposed to fire cues (heat shock + smoke) or exposed to them.

REFERENCES

- Allen R.G., Pereira L.S., Raes D. (1998) Crop evapotranspiration – Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56. http://wwwfaoorg/docrep/X0490E/ X0490E00htm#Contents.
- Almansouri M., Kinet J.M., Lutts S. (2001) Effect of salt and osmotic stresses on germination in durum wheat *Triticum durum* Desf. *Plant and Soil*, 231, 243–254.
- Atia A., Smaoui A., Barhoumi Z., Abdelly C., Debez A. (2011) Differential response to salinity and water deficit stress in *Polypogon monspeliensis* (L.) Desf. provenances during germination. *Plant Biology*, 13, 541–545.
- Baskin C.C., Baskin J.M. (2014) Seeds: ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Batlla D., Benech-Arnold R.L. (2006) The role of fluctuations in soil water content on the regulation of dormancy changes in buried seeds of *Polygonum aviculare L. Seed Science Research*, 16, 47–59.

- Beguería S., Vicente-Serrano S.M., Reig F., Latorre B. (2014) Standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI) revisited: parameter fitting, evapotranspiration models, tools, datasets and drought monitoring. *International Journal of Climatology*, 34, 3001–3023.
- Bewley J.D., Black M. (1994) Seeds: physiology of development and germination. Plenum Press, New York, USA.
- Bindoff N.L., Stott P.A., AchutaRao K.M., Allen M.R., Gillett N., Gutzler D., Hansingo K., Hegerl G., Hu Y., Jain S., Mokhov I.I., Overland J., Perlwitz R., Sebbari R., Zhang X. (2013) Detection and attribution of climate change: from global to regional. In: Stocker T.F., Qin D., Plattner G.K., Tignor M., Allen S.K., Boschung J., Nauels A., Xia Y., Bex V., Midgley P.M. (Eds), Climate Change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 867– 952.
- Bochet E., García-Fayos P., Alborch B., Tormo J. (2007) Soil water availability effects on seed germi-

nation account for species segregation in semiarid roadslopes. *Plant and Soil*, **295**, 179–191.

- Bolker B.M., Brooks M.E., Clark C.J., Geange S.W., Poulson J.R., Stevens M.H.H., White J.S. (2008) Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 24, 127–135.
- Boydak M., Dirik H., Tilki F., Çalikoglu M. (2003) Effects of water stress on germination in six provenances of *Pinus brutia* seeds from different bioclimatic zones in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture* and Forestry, 27, 91–97.
- Bradford K.J. (1990) A water relations analysis of seed germination rates. *Plant Physiology*, 94, 840–849.
- Bradford K.J., Still D.W. (2004) Applications of hydrotime analysis in seed testing. *Seed Technology*, 26, 75–85.
- Céspedes B., Torres I., Urbieta I.R., Moreno J.M. (2012) Effects of changes in the timing and duration of the wet season on the germination of the soil seed bank of a seeder-dominated Mediterranean shrubland. *Plant Ecology*, **213**, 919–931.
- Cochrane J.A., Hoyle G.L., Yates C.J., Wood J., Nicotra A.B. (2015a) Evidence of population variation in

drought tolerance during seed germination in four *Banksia* (Proteaceae) species from Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **62**, 481–489.

- Cochrane A., Yates C.J., Hoyle G.L., Nicotra A.B. (2015b) Will among-population variation in seed traits improve the chance of species persistence under climate change? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 24, 12–24.
- Crosti R., Ladd P.G., Dixon K.W., Piotto B. (2006) Post-fire germination: the effect of smoke on seeds of selected species from the central Mediterranean basin. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **221**, 306– 312.
- De Luis M., Raventós J., González-Hidalgo J.C. (2005) Factors controlling seedling germination after fire in Mediterranean gorse shrublands. Implications for fire prescription. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **76**, 159–166.
- De Luis M., Verdú M., Raventós J. (2008) Early to rise makes a plant healthy, wealthy, and wise. *Ecology*, 89, 3061–3071.
- Downs M.P., Cavers P.B. (2000) Effects of wetting and drying on seed germination and seedling emergence of bull thistle, *Cirsium vulgare* (Savi) Ten. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **78**, 1545–1551.
- Espigares T., Peco B. (1993) Mediterranean pasture dynamics – the role of germination. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 4, 189–194.
- Evans C.E., Etherington J.R. (1990) The effect of soil water potential on seed germination of some British plants. *New Phytologist*, **115**, 539–548.
- Fady B. (1992) Effect of osmotic stress on germination and radicle growth in five provenances of *Abies cephalonica* Loudon. *Acta Oecologica*, **13**, 67–79.
- Finch-Savage W.E., Leubner-Metzger G. (2006) Seed dormancy and the control of germination. *New Phytologist*, **171**, 501–523.
- Ghebrehiwot H.M., Kulkarni M.G., Kirkman K.P., Van Staden J. (2008) Smoke-Water and a Smoke-isolated butenolide improve germination and seedling vigour of *Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter under high temperature and low osmotic potential. *Journal of Agronomy* and Crop Science, 194, 270–277.
- Giorgi P., Lionello P. (2008) Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region. *Global and Plan*etary Change, 63, 90–104.
- Gummerson R.J. (1986) The effect of constant temperatures and osmotic potentials on the germination of sugar beet. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **37**, 729– 741.
- Hardegree S.P., Emmerich W.E. (1990) Effect of polyethylene glycol exclusion on the water potential of solution-saturated filter paper. *Plant Physiology*, 92, 462–466.
- Ibáñez A.N., Passera C.B. (1997) Factors affecting the germination of albaida (*Anthyllis cytisoides* L.), a forage legume of the Mediterranean coast. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 35, 225–231.
- Joët T., Ourcival J.-M., Dussert S. (2013) Ecological significance of seed desiccation sensitivity in *Quercus ilex. Annals of Botany*, **111**, 693–701.
- Keeley J.E., Fotheringham C.J. (2000) Role of fire in regeneration from seed. In: Fenner M. (Ed.), Seeds. The ecology of regeneration in plant communities. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 311–331.
- Keeley J.E., Pausas J.G., Rundel P.W., Bond W.J., Bradstock R. (2011) Fire as an evolutionary pressure shaping plant traits. *Trends in Plant Science*, 16, 406–411.
- Khera N., Singh R.P. (2005) Germination of some multipurpose tree species in five provenances in

response to variation in light, temperature, substrate and water stress. *Tropical Ecology*, **46**, 203–218.

- Köchy M., Tielbörger K. (2007) Hydrothermal time model of germination: parameters for 36 Mediterranean annual species based on a simplified approach. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 8, 171–182.
- Koller D., Hadas A. (1982) Water relations in the germination of seeds. In: Lange O.L., Nobel P.S., Osmond C.B., Ziegler H. (Eds), *Encyclopedia of plant physiology. New series vol. 12B. Physiological plant ecology II. Water relations and carbon assimilation.* Springer, Berlin, Germany, pp 401–431.
- Kos M., Poschlod P. (2008) Correlates of inter-specific variation in germination response to water stress in a semi-arid savannah. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 9, 645–652.
- Li Y.P., Feng Y.L. (2009) Differences in seed morphometric and germination traits of crofton weed (*Eupatorium adenophorum*) from different elevations. Weed Science, 57, 26–30.
- Lionello P., Boscoso R., Malonotte-Rizzoli P. (2006) Mediterranean climate variability. Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- Luna B., Moreno J.M., Cruz A., Fernández-González F. (2007) Heat-shock and seed germination of a group of Mediterranean plant species growing in a burned area: an approach based on plant functional types. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, **60**, 324–333.
- Mesléard F., Lepart J. (1991) Germination and seedling dynamics of Arbutus unedo and Erica arborea on Corsica. Journal of Vegetation Science, 2, 155–164.
- Michel B.E., Kaufmann M.R. (1973) The osmotic potential of polyethylene glycol 6000. *Plant Physiology*, 51, 914–916.
- Miranda J.D., Padilla F.M., Pugnaire F.I. (2009) Response of a Mediterranean semiarid community to changing patterns of water supply. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 11, 255– 266.
- Moore R.P. (1985) *Handbook on tetrazolium testing*. The International Seed Testing Association (ISTA), Switzerland.
- Moreira B., Tormo J., Estrelles E., Pausas J.G. (2010) Disentangling the role of heat and smoke as germination cues in Mediterranean Basin flora. *Annals of Botany*, **105**, 627–635.
- Moreira B., Tavsanoglu Ç., Pausas J.G. (2012) Local versus regional intraspecific variability in regeneration traits. *Oecologia*, 168, 671–677.
- Moreno J.M., Zuazua E., Pérez B., Luna B., Velasco A., de Dios V.R. (2011) Rainfall patterns after fire differentially affect the recruitment of three Mediterranean shrubs. *Biogeosciences*, 8, 3721–3732.
- Ne'eman G., Lev-Yadun S., Arianoutsou M. (2012) Fire-related traits in Mediterranean basin plants. Israel Journal of Ecology and Evolution, 58, 177–194.
- Pearson R.G., Stanton J.C., Shoemaker K.T., Aiello-Lammens M.E., Ersts P.J., Horning N., Fordham D.A., Raxworthy C.J., Ryu H.Y., McNees J., Akçakaya H.R. (2014) Life history and spatial traits predict extinction risk due to climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 217–221.
- Pérez-Fernández M.A., Calvo-Magro E., Ferrer-Castán D. (2006) Simulation of germination of pioneer species along an experimental drought gradient. *Journal* of Environmental Biology, 27, 679–685.
- Petrů M., Tielbörger K. (2008) Germination behaviour of annual plants under changing climatic conditions: separating local and regional environmental effects. *Oecologia*, 155, 717–728.

- Quintana J.R., Cruz A., Fernández-González F., Moreno J.M. (2004) Time of germination and establishment success after fire of three obligate seeders in a Mediterranean shrubland of Central Spain. *Journal* of Biogeography, **31**, 241–249.
- Raccuia S.A., Cavallaro V., Melilli M.G. (2004) Intraspecific variation in *Cynara cardunculus* Sicilian populations: seed germination under salt and moisture stress. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 56, 107–116.
- Roberts E.H., Ellis R.H. (1989) Water and seed survival. *Annals of Botany*, **63**, 39–52.
- Ruffault J., Martin-StPaul N.K., Duffet C., Goge F., Mouillot F. (2014) Projecting future drought in Mediterranean forests: bias correction of climate models matters!. *Theoretical and Applied Climatol*ogy, 117, 113–122.
- Schütz W., Milberg P., Lamont B.B. (2002) Germination requirements and seedling responses to water availability and soil type in four eucalypt species. *Acta Oecologica*, 23, 23–30.
- Sy A., Grouzis M., Danthu P. (2001) Seed germination of seven Sahelian legume species. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 49, 875–882.
- Thanos C.A., Skordilis A. (1987) The effects of light, temperature and osmotic-stress on the germination of *Pinus halepensis* and *Pinus brutia* seeds. Seed Science and Technology, 15, 163–174.
- Thomas P.B., Morris E.C., Auld T.D., Haigh A.M. (2010) The interaction of temperature water availability and fire cues regulates seed germination in a fire-prone landscape. *Oecologia*, **162**, 293–302.
- Tilki F., Dirik H. (2007) Seed germination of three provenances of *Pinus brutia* (Ten.) as influenced by stratification temperature and water stress. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 28, 133–136.
- Tomaselli R. (1981) Main physiognomic types and geographic distribution of shrub systems related to Mediterranean climates. In: di Castri F., Goodall D.W., Specht R.L. (Eds), Mediterranean-type shrublands. Ecosystems of the world 11. Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, pp 95–105.
- Trabaud L. (1994) Post-fire plant community dynamics in the Mediterranean Basin. In: Moreno J.M., Oechel W.C. (Eds), *The role of fire in Mediterraneantype ecosystems. Ecological Studies 107.* Springer, New York, USA, pp 1–15.
- Urbieta I.R., Zavala G., Bedia J., Gutiérrez J.M., San Miguel-Ayanz J., Camia A., Keeley J.E., Moreno J.M. (2015) Fire activity as a function of fire-weather seasonal severity and antecedent climate across spatial scales in southern Europe and Pacific western USA. *Environmental Research Letters*, **10**, 114013.
- Valbuena L., Vera M.L. (2002) The effects of thermal scarification and seed storage on germination of four heathland species. *Plant Ecology*, 161, 137–144.
- Verdú M., Traveset A. (2005) Early emergence enhances plant fitness: a phylogenetically controlled meta-analysis. *Ecology*, 86, 1385–1394.
- Walck J.L., Hidayati S.N., Dixon K.W., Thompson K., Poschlod P. (2011) Climate change and plant regeneration from seed. *GlobalChange Biology*, **17**, 2145– 2161.
- Xiao X., Horton R., Saver T.J., Heitman J.L., Ren T. (2011) Cumulative soil water evaporation as a function of depth and time. *Vadose Zone Journal*, **10**, 1016–1022.
- Zhang H., Irving L.J., McGill C., Matthew C., Zhou D., Kemp K. (2010) The effects of salinity and osmotic stress on barley germination rate: sodium as an osmotic regulator. *Annals of Botany*, **106**, 1027–1035.